

LFC Requester:	Kelly Klundt
-----------------------	---------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS
2016 REGULAR SESSION**

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

and

DFA@STATE.NM.US

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Check all that apply:

Original ☐ **Amendment** ☒ **X**

Correction ☐ **Substitute** ☐

Date Feb. 2, 2016

Bill No: HB 200a

Sponsor: Reps. Espinoza & Rehm

Agency Code: 305

Short Public Works Prevailing Wage

Person Writing Sean Cunniff, AAG

Title: Projects

Phone: 827-6469

Email scunniff@nmag.gov

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17	FY18		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY16	FY17	FY18	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE**BILL SUMMARY**

This analysis is neither a formal Attorney General's Opinion nor an Attorney General's Advisory Letter. This is a staff analysis in response to an agency's, committee's, or legislator's request.

This is an analysis of the amendments to House Bill 200 passed by the House Business and Employment Committee on January 28, 2016.

The amendments further define the types of public works projects which would be exempted from prevailing wage standards if the legislation is enacted. Namely, (1) "public highways" are included, in addition to "public roads," which was in the original version; and (2) "educational institutions," which were included in the original bill, are defined.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS N/A

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

These changes add clarity to the categories of projects the legislation seeks to exempt from the State's prevailing wage standards.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS N/A

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS N/A

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP N/A

TECHNICAL ISSUES N/A

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES N/A

ALTERNATIVES N/A

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL N/A

AMENDMENTS N/A